

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Valencia SWCD-Tribal

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Program: | Ranking Date: | Application Number: |
| Ranking Tool: Valencia SWCD-Tribal | | Applicant: |
| Final Ranking Score: | | Address: |
| Planner: | | Telephone: |
| Farm Location: | | |

National Priorities Addressed

| Issue Questions | Responses |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |

State Issues Addressed

| Issue Questions | Responses |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of ground water pollution? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of surface water pollution? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10 ppm or less? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be significantly improved? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be significantly improved? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 9. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 10. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 11. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 12. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 13. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 14. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 15. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 16. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 17. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |

Local Issues Addressed

| Issue Questions | Responses |
|-----------------|-----------|
|-----------------|-----------|

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Irr.Crop #1. Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 10% (by NRCS FIRS Calculation)? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. Irr.Crop #2. Does applicant have a current EQIP contract, which is not being applied on schedule? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Irr.Crop #3. Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 4. Irr.Crop #4. The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency between 16-20% (by NRCS FIRS Calculation) | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 5. Irr.Crop #5. The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency between 21-30% (by NRCS FIRS Calculation) | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. Irr.Crop #6. The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency between 31-40% or more (by NRCS FIRS) | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. Irr.Crop #7. Is the operation going to apply a minimum of two Irrigation Water Conservation Practices Listed: Land Leveling, Structure for Water Control, Hi-Flow Turnouts, Pipeline, and Concrete Lining? (Automatic additional 120 pts. for conversion from Surface to Drip alone) | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. Irr.Crop #8. Is the operation going to apply a Pipe or Hi-Flow turnout Structure? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 9. Irr.Crop #9. Will the applicant accept an implement an RMS level conservation plan on the contracted acres? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 10. GR #1. Applicant is implementing a prescribed grazing system (528A). | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 11. GR #2. Does applicant have a current EQIP contract, which is not being applied on schedule? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 12. GR #3. Has applicant had an EQIP contract within the last five years that was terminated or cancelled without good justification? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 13. GR #4. Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 75% of the growing season on 50% of the contracted acres? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 14. GR #5. Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 51 - 75% of the growing season on 50% of contracted acres? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 15. GR #6. Will you defer grazing of the key species greater than 26 - 50% of the growing season on 50% of contracted acres? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 16. GR #7. Will defer grazing of the key species greater than 0 - 25% of the growing season on 50% of contracted acres? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 17. GR #8. Will the applicant accept and implement an RMS level conservation plan on the contracted acres? | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |
| 18. GR #9. Applicant will implement brush management (314) to address Woody Invasive Species | Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/> |

Land Use:

| Resource Concerns | Practices |
|-------------------|-----------|
|-------------------|-----------|

Ranking Score

| |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score: |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| NRCS Designated Conservationist: | Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development: |
| Signature Date: | Signature Date: |